

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/717,937	11/21/2003	Sang Ho Park	9988.072.00-US	7538
30827	10827 7590 12/28/2004		EXAMINER	
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP			RINEHART, KENNETH	
1900 K STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT F	
***************************************			3749	

DATE MAILED: 12/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/717,937	PARK, SANG HO				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Kenneth B Rinehart	3749				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) decriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro thatute, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)[1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 November 2004</u> .						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠ 5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) 1-5 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 6-8 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[] -	The specification is objected to by the Exar	niner.					
•	∑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 November 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by th		•				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for form All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Buttee the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in Applica priority documents have been receiv reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ition No ved in this National Stage				
Attacher	(6)						
Attachment 1) Notice	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar	v (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail [Date				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Deschaaf et al (4,385,452). Deschaaf et al shows a rotatable drum having an interior for holding laundry (22, fig. 1), a moisture sensor, installed with respect to the interior of said rotatable drum, for measuring water content of the laundry in said rotatable drum and outputting a value indicative of the water content (30, fig. 1); means for converting the water content value output from said moisture sensor to voltage and outputting a corresponding voltage signal (36, fig. 1, col. 3, line 9), a pulse detector for outputting a pulse count generated from a contact count of the laundry coming into contact with said moisture sensor, the contact count resulting from the water content (54, fig. 1, col. 3, lines 6-12); and a microcomputer for controlling a dry pattern based on the respective outputs of said converting means and said pulse detector (60, fig. 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/717,937 Page 3

Art Unit: 3749

Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Deschaaf et al. Deschaaf discloses a rotatable drum having an interior for holding laundry (22, fig. 1), a moisture sensor, installed with respect to the interior of said rotatable drum, for measuring water content of the laundry in said rotatable drum and outputting a value indicative of the water content (30, fig. 1); means for converting the water content value output from said moisture sensor to voltage and outputting a corresponding voltage signal (36, fig. 1, col. 3, line 9), a pulse detector for outputting a pulse count generated from a contact count of the laundry coming into contact with said moisture sensor, the contact count resulting from the water content (54, fig. 1, col. 3, lines 6-12); and a microcomputer for controlling a dry pattern based on the respective outputs of said converting means and said pulse detector (60, fig. 1), said moisture sensor outputs two values having ... values, the two signals have ... values over the drying cycles (claim 9 c, d). Deschaaf discloses applicant's invention substantially as claimed with the exception of equal. At the time the invention was made it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have equal because applicant has not disclosed that equal provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with either the quantity of the signal of Deschaaf or the claimed value because both values perform the same function of drying well.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-5 are allowed.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/717,937

Art Unit: 3749

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth B Rinehart whose telephone number is 571-272-4881. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:20 -4:20.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ira Lazarus can be reached on 571-272-4881. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/717,937 Page 5

Art Unit: 3749

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

kbr

KENNETH RINEHART PRIMARY EXAMINER